

UNITED STATIS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Addr ss: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	re	FIRST NAMED INVE	NTOR	rA ·	TORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/243,	237 02	:/02/99	MUKHOPADHYAY		D	MDO-2471-D1	
0207 9 3			IM22/1006	· ¬	EXAMINER		
					FORT	FORTUNA, A	
10725 SE 256TH STREET					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 3 KENT WA	98031-6	426	4		1723	10/06/99	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

•	Application No. Applicas) MUKho PAJHYAY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit				
	A. FORTUNA 1723				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/2	- /- /- /- /- /- /- /- /- /- /- /- /- /-				
☐ This action is FINAL .	·				
	xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed yle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
A shortened statutory period for response to this action	is set to expire month(s), or thirty days, whichever Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of				
Disposition of Claims	is/are pending in the application.				
·					
	is/are allowed.				
Claim(s)					
(4 Claim(s) (-10 ·					
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.				
 ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/a ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 	are objected to by the Examiner. is pproved disapproved.				
*Certified copies not received:	Copies of the priority documents have been Serial Number) from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 0.5.C. § 115(e).				
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449 Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	v, PTO-948				
SEE OFFICE AC	TION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES				

Application/Control Number: 09/243,237 Page 2

Art Unit: 1723

بد بب

1. The numbering of claims is not accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 122-125 and 93-98 been renumbered 1-4, and 5-10 respectively.

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims1, 9, 81 and 115 of U.S. Patent No. 5,925,255.

 Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the limitations of claim 1 (non renumbered claim 122) overlap the limitations of the claims in the patent.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/243,237

Art Unit: 1723

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United 5. States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- Claims 1, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Collentro et al 6. (5,766,479, and 5,670,053). Collentro et al ('479) discloses a process of treating water including alkalinity, hardness and ionized species by pretreating the water stream, increasing the pH by adding an alkali, to a pH from 7.5 to 10.5 and separating the feed stream by membrane separation, e.g reverse osmosis (Figures, column 5, lines 20-68, column 6, lines 1-68, columns 7-9, and column 10, lines 1-65). The pretreatment removes hardness and alkalinity prior to the reverse osmosis membrane treatment, e.g. sodium, potation magnesium, bicarbonate, sulfates, etc (column 5, lines 50-68, column 6, lines 1-40), activated carbon and ion exchange are also suggested for removing organics, such as humic acid and ionic species (column 6, lines 41-55). Using more than one reverse osmosis unit, using sodium hydroxide or conventional bases is also disclosed, and removing C02 by ionization at high pH and rejecting it with the RO membrane is also disclosed (column 8, lines 29-39). Regarding claim 3, removing hardness and alkalinity by a single unit is disclosed, e.g. by nanofiltration. Regarding claim 13, silica is also disclosed (column 8, lines 45-49). Reference '053 discloses the same claim limitation (entire disclosure). Regarding claim 8, reference '479 discloses water substantially free of silica (column 8, lines 45-50).

Application/Control Number: 09/243,237

Facsimile No. (703)305-7718.

Art Unit: 1723

- 7. (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tao et al. (5,250,185). Tao et al. clearly discloses water having the boron and TOC contained in the claims above (column 4, lines 6-67, column 7, lines 9-68, column 8, lines 13).

 Product by process claims are products. Therefore, the rejection over Tao et al is proper.
- 9. (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 10. Claims 2-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Bhave et al. (5,645,727). Reference '727 clearly discloses purified water with the degree of purity claimed and within the boron, silica, virus, bacteria and TOC claimed in the claims above (column 17, table III, column 16, lines 23-26, or entire disclosure).
- 11. (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 12. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Abe et al.(5,573,662). Water having the TOC level claimed is disclosed by '661 (column 3, lines 30-53).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana Fortuna whose telephone number is (703) 308-3857.

Application/Control Number: 09/243,237

Art Unit: 1723

Page 5

ANA FORTUNA
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1900
1723

Ana Fortuna

September 29, 1999